



THE UNIVERSITY OF  
MELBOURNE

# Owning IFI proposals in developing countries

---

Miranda Stewart

[m.stewart@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:m.stewart@unimelb.edu.au)

Workshop on Tax, Poverty and Finance  
for Development

Essex University, 6-7 July 2006

Tax Justice Network; AABA



# Introduction

---

- Tax reform is central to development and justice
- The global context is increasingly important
- Many tax reforms are externally driven
- Reformers often fail to achieve their goals
- How can we ensure effective and sustainable tax reform that assists in achieving development, justice and poverty alleviation?



# External influences on tax reform

---

- Developed country governments
  - USAid, DFID, AusAID
  - Development Assistance Committee of the OECD
- Tax experts
  - Mostly from leading universities and treasuries
- IFIs and other international institutions



# Institutions engaged in tax reform

---

- United Nations
- IMF
- World Bank
  - Asian Dev't Bank (African Dev't Bank?)
- OECD
- WTO
  - Regional free trade zones eg ASEAN or CFA Franc region
- Non-government agencies



# Global “norms” of tax policy

---

- Global tax norms generated in a neoliberal paradigm
- Neoliberal tax norms
  - Reduce engagement of the state in the economy
  - Lower tax on capital; flatten rates; “broaden base”; shift to VAT base
- **But**, for developing countries, a twist:
  - Goal of **increasing** tax revenues by **increasing** the taxing capacity of state



# Internal politics of tax reform

---

- Global norms are “translated” into a specific discourse of tax reform in a particular country context
- Countries aiming to **increase tax revenues**
  - Must deal with domestic political and administrative constraints
  - Involving a broader field of taxpayers
  - Quasi-voluntary compliance needed across key taxpayer sectors
  - Commitment needed in revenue agency



## The problem of “ownership”

---

“The one common theme that runs through perceptions of [the IMF structural adjustment loan] is **a feeling of a loss of control over the policy content and the pace of implementation of reform** ... there is broad agreement that ownership is a necessary condition of successful policy reform.”

*Botchwey et al, Report of the Group of Independent Persons Appointed to Conduct an Evaluation of Certain Aspects of the ESAF (1998, IMF, Part 2, p. 20)*



## A suggested solution

---

“The solution, in our view, lies not in ... simply persuading the country to adopt what others want, but **in finding a middle ground** that enables the country to express its will and **build consensus** behind a program capable of achieving sustainable growth”

Botchwey et al, (1998, p. 21)





## Some steps taken/begun

---

1. Refocus expenditure policies towards poverty relief
2. Shift to governance and institutional reform (not just macro-policy or law)
3. Consultation with stakeholders
4. Increased coordination of international agencies and agendas



# 1. Expenditure policies

---

- Reliable expenditure on safety nets and poverty relief
  - Should increase political legitimacy and support for taxation
- BUT, **poverty relief** may not generate consensus from other stakeholders
- Negotiation is required
  - Linking taxing and spending
  - Benefits for the “middle class”
  - Political power sharing



## 2. Governance reform

---

- An attempt to take account of domestic processes and state constraints
- Budget transparency
- Public expenditure management
- Anti-corruption projects
- Tax administration reform
- Procedural fairness in rulings, judicial hearings, collection processes



## 3. Consultation

---

- “Education” of bureaucrats, politicians and taxpayers
- Consultation with the poor
  - Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers
  - Usually, local and expenditure-oriented
- Consultation with business
  - International forums eg OECD
  - Business/professional associations
- Consultation with ‘civil society’?



# The politics of consultation

---

- Consultation or compromise?
  - Is consultation genuine?
  - Does it generate local expertise?
- Sustainable **increased tax collection** requires a broad **consensus**:
  - Key interest groups (taxpayers)
  - Citizens/civil society
  - International agencies
  - Administrative agencies



## 4. International developments

---

- Increased coordination between international agencies
  - IMF/World Bank
  - Regional tax technical assistance networks
  - Cross-country tax cooperation
- Highly indebted poor country debt relief
  - Increases legitimacy of government taxation and spending
- Strengthening UN engagement with fiscal policy?



## Some thoughts

---

- A. Develop local expert consensus
- B. Acknowledge the importance of tax fairness and understand its complexity in the local context
- C. Pay attention to the 'tax citizen' in the local political arena



## A. Expert consensus

---

- Successful reform requires local expert consensus
  - Formation of expert consensus is political not merely “technical”
- Generating expert consensus requires
  - Education of local experts (increasing capacity)
  - Dialogue between local and external experts
  - A combination of non-public and public debate
  - Generating compromise between experts & stakeholders





## B. Tax fairness

---

- Fairness is **important** and **complex**
- Fairness may relate to operation or outcomes of the tax system
  - In light of overall taxes, spending and operation of government
  - Between ethnic/religious/regional groups
  - In taxing high wealth not just low/middle
  - Compared to other countries
  - In procedures, appeals and refunds
- But, the tax system may not itself redistribute much income



# Where is the citizen in tax reform?

---

- Tax politics are “thin” in many developing countries (Moore & Rakner, 2002)
- We need to take the “tax citizen” seriously
  - Taxpayer, labour and business associations
  - Tax linked to fairness
  - Tax linked to expenditures (benefits)
  - Taxing elites linked to political power
  - Tax as a key election issue